

Nova Southeastern University  
 Abraham S. Fischler College of Education and School of Criminal Justice  
 Initial Teacher Preparation Program - Employer Satisfaction Survey Results

2018-2019


Degree Program	# of Graduates	Number of Survey Responses	Survey Response Rate
BS in Elementary Education – ESOL/Reading Endorsement	6	3	50%
BS in Exceptional Student Education – ESOL Endorsement	2	0	0%
BS in Secondary Biology Education	0	0	0%
BS in Secondary English Education – ESOL Endorsement	0	0	0%
BS in Secondary Mathematics Education	0	0	0%
BS in Secondary Social Studies Education	0	0	0%
MS in Reading	0	0	0%

*Employer satisfaction survey results reported below reflect the BS in Elementary Education – ESOL/Reading Endorsement only.*

3. Based on the performance of the NSU graduate (teacher), please indicate whether the teacher is well prepared, prepared, or not prepared within each of the following areas.

Figure 1.


*Percentage of employers responding with well-prepared, prepared, or not prepared on the following items within question 3 (n = 3)*



4. Based on the performance of the NSU graduate (teacher), which of the following do you believe may be strengths of NSU's teacher preparation program? (select all that apply)

Figure 2.


Number of respondents (employers) selecting each area as a strength (n = 3)



5. Based on the performance of the NSU graduate (teacher), which of the following do you believe may be areas of weakness for NSU's teacher preparation program? (select all that apply)

Figure 3.


Number of respondents (employers) selecting each area as a weakness (n = 3)



6. Overall, how effective do you feel NSU's teacher preparation program was in preparing the teacher employed at your school for his/her teaching role in the classroom?

Figure 4.

*Percentage of employers responding with highly effective, effective, or not effective on item 6 (n = 3)*



7. Please indicate how effective NSU has been in responding to the needs of school districts.

Figure 5.

*Percentage of employers responding with highly effective, effective, or not effective on item 7 (n = 3)*

