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Mixed Methods Research, Defined

- A mixed methods research design is a procedure for collecting, analyzing, and “mixing” both quantitative and qualitative research and methods in a single study to understand a research problem.

- To utilize this design effectively, you must understand both quantitative and qualitative research.

- Philosophical Approaches

Quantitative Research

- A type of educational research in which the research decides what to study; asks specific, narrow questions, collects quantifiable data from participants (a large number of participants); analyzes these numbers using statistics; and conducts the inquiry in an unbiased, objective manner.

- Postpositivism – singular reality; objective; deductive
Quantitative Research (cont’d)

- Generally attempts to quantify variables of interest; questions must be measurable.

- Example:
  - What is the relationship between graduate students’ level of interaction, measured by the number of ‘hits’ in the course, and students’ grades in an online research methods course?
Quantitative Methodology

- Generally involves collecting numerical data that can be subjected to statistical analysis
- Examples of data collection methodologies
  - Performance Tests
  - Personality Measures
  - Questionnaires (with closed-ended questions or open-ended but transferred to quan data)
  - Content Analysis
- The data is generally referred to as “hard” data
Qualitative Research

- A type of educational research in which the researcher relies on the views of participants; asks broad, general questions; collects data consisting largely of words (or text) from participants; describes and analyzes these words for themes; and conducts the inquiry in a subjective, biased manner.

- Constructivism – multiple realities; biased; inductive
Qualitative Research (cont’d)

- “There are times we wish to know not how many or how well, but simply how” (Shulman, 1988, p. 7).

Example:
- “What are the factors that influence a graduate students’ experience in an online research methods course?”
Qualitative Methodology

- Generally involves listening to the participants’ voice and subjecting the data to analytic induction (e.g., finding common themes)
- More Exploratory in nature
- Examples of data collection methods
  - Interviews
  - Open-ended questionnaires
  - Observations
  - Content analysis
  - Focus Groups
Steps for Conducting a Mixed Methods Study

1. Determine if a mixed methods study is feasible
2. Identify a rationale for a mixed methods study
3. Identify the data collection strategy and type of design
4. Develop quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods questions
5. Collect quantitative and qualitative data
6. Analyze data separately or concurrently
7. Write the report as a one- or two-phase study
When to Use Mixed Methods Designs

- When both quantitative and qualitative data, together, provide a better understanding of your research problem than either type by itself.
- When one type of research (qualitative or quantitative) is not enough to address the research problem or answer the research questions.
- Pragmatism – practicality; multiple view points; biased and unbiased; subjective and objective

When to Use Mixed Methods (cont’d)

- To incorporate a qualitative component into an otherwise quantitative study
- To build from one phase of a study to another
  - Explore qualitatively then develop an instrument
  - Follow-up a quantitative study qualitatively to obtain more detailed information

Mixed Methods Research Methodology

- Utilizes both quantitative and qualitative data collection methodologies.

Examples

- Interviews and Questionnaires
- Performance Tests and Observation
- Questionnaires and follow up Focus groups
- Document analysis, Performance Tests, Questionnaire, and Interviews
What Is Mixed Methods Research?

A mixed methods research design is a procedure for collecting, analyzing, and “mixing” both quantitative and qualitative research and methods in a single study to understand a research problem.
Key Characteristics of Mixed Methods Designs: Rationale

- **Rationale**
  - Test findings of first phase
  - Explain results of first phase in more detail
  - Provide a more complete understanding than either quantitative or qualitative alone

- **Collecting both quantitative and qualitative data**
  - Numeric data
  - Text data
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Defined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUAN</td>
<td>Quantitatively driven study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUAL</td>
<td>Qualitatively driven study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quan</td>
<td>Quantitative data is secondary to qualitative data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qual</td>
<td>Qualitative data is secondary to quantitative data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>Indicates that quantitative and qualitative data are collected concurrently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>Indicates that quantitative and qualitative data are collected sequentially.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Characteristics of Mixed Methods Designs: Priority and Sequence

- **Priority**
  - Equal weight
  - QUAN carries more weight than qual
  - QUAL carries more weight than quan

- **Sequence**
  - Collect both quantitative and qualitative data at the same time
  - Collect quantitative data first, followed by qualitative data
  - Collect qualitative data first, followed by quantitative data
Key Decisions In Choosing A Mixed Methods Study

- The level of interaction between the quantitative and qualitative strands
- The priority of the strands
- The timing of the strands
- Where and how to mix the strands
The Major Mixed Methods Designs
The Convergent Parallel Design

Quantitative Data Collection and Analysis

Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis

Compare or relate

Interpretation
The Convergent Design

The researcher:

- Collects quantitative and qualitative data concurrently
- Analyzes the two data sets separately
- Mixes the two databases by merging the results during interpretation (and sometimes during data analysis)
Purposes for the Convergent Design

- Obtain a more complete understanding from two databases
- Corroborate results from different methods
- Compare multiple levels within a system
When to Use the Convergent Design

Choose this design if:

- Need to collect both types of data in one visit to the field
- Both types of data have equal value for understanding the research problem
- Have quantitative and qualitative research skills
- Can manage extensive data collection activities individually or with a team
Convergent Design

- Philosophical assumptions:
  - Best suited to an "umbrella" paradigm such as pragmatism

- Common variants:
  - Parallel-databases variant
  - Data-transformation variant
  - Data-validation variant
Strengths: Convergent Design

- Intuitive
- Efficient
- Lends itself to teams
Challenges: Convergent Design

- Requires substantial effort and expertise
- Issues related to the samples and sample sizes
- Difficult to converge two sets of different data
- How to resolve discrepant results
The Explanatory Sequential Design

1. Quantitative Data Collection and Analysis
2. Follow up with
3. Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis
4. Interpretation
The Explanatory Design

The researcher:

- Starts by collecting and analyzing quantitative data
- Collects and analyzes qualitative data in a second phase as a follow-up to the quantitative results
- Connects the phases by using the quantitative results to shape the qualitative research questions, sampling, and data collection
Purposes for the Explanatory Design

- To use qualitative data to help explain quantitative results that need further exploration
- To use quantitative results to purposefully select best participants for qualitative study
When to Use the Explanatory Design

Choose this design if:

- Researcher and research problem are quantitatively oriented
- Know important variables and instruments are available
- Participants available for second data collection
- Have time to conduct two phases
- Have limited resources and need to collect and analyze one data type at a time
- New questions emerge from quantitative results
Explanatory Design

• Philosophical assumptions:
  • Begin from postpositivism for the quantitative phase
  • Shift to constructivism for the qualitative phase

• Common variants:
  • Follow-up explanations variant
  • Participant-selection variant
Strengths: Explanatory Design

- Appealing to quantitative researchers
- Straightforward to implement two phases
- Final report can be written in two phases
- Lends itself to emergent approaches
Challenges: Explanatory Design

- Two phases require lengthy time to implement
- Difficult to secure IRB approval when second phase cannot be specified before first phase complete
- Need to decide what results to follow up
- Must decide criteria for selecting participants
- Need to contact participants for a second round of data collection
The Exploratory Sequential Design

Quantitative Data Collection and Analysis → Follow up with → Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis → Interpretation
The Exploratory Design

- The researcher:
  - Collects and analyzes qualitative data first followed by quantitative data
  - Analyzes the qualitative data and uses results to build to the subsequent quantitative phase
  - Connects the phases by using the qualitative results to shape the quantitative phase by specifying research questions and variables, developing an instrument, and/or generating a typology
Purposes for the Exploratory Design

- To first explore because variables, theories, hypotheses not known
- To develop an instrument or typology that is not available
- To assess whether qualitative themes generalize to a population
When to Use the Exploratory Design

Choose this design if:

- Researcher and research problem are qualitatively oriented
- Important variables not known and instruments not available
- Have time to conduct two phases
- Have limited resources and need to collect and analyze one data type at a time
- New questions have emerged from qualitative results
Exploratory Design

• Philosophical assumptions:
  • Begin from constructivism for the qualitative phase
  • Shift to postpositivism for the quantitative phase

• Common variants:
  • Theory-development variant
  • Instrument-development variant
Strengths: Exploratory Design

- Straightforward to design, implement, and report
- Quantitative component can make the qualitative approach more acceptable to quantitative-biased audiences
- Researcher produces a product, such as an instrument
- Lends itself to emergent approaches
Challenges: Exploratory Design

- Two phases require lengthy time to implement
- Difficult to specify quantitative procedures when applying for initial IRB approval; may have to apply twice
- Deciding the qualitative findings to use for quantitative phase
- Procedures for developing a valid and reliable instrument
The Embedded Design

Quantitative (or Qualitative) Design

Quantitative (or Qualitative) Data Collection and Analysis

Qualitative (or Quantitative) Data Collection and Analysis (before, during, or after)

Interpretation
QUAN designs to be used within an Embedded Approach

Experimental Design (can use quasi-experimental design)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlational</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Explanatory design</td>
<td>Between-subjects - pre- and posttest design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive design</td>
<td>Within-subjects - cross-over design - factorial design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational Design
The Embedded Design

The researcher:
- Collects and analyzes quantitative and qualitative data within a quantitative research design, qualitative research design, or research procedure
- Collection and analysis of secondary data set occurs before, during, and/or after the primary methods
Purposes for the Embedded Design

- To address different questions that call for different methods
- To enhance an experiment such as by
  - improving recruitment procedures
  - examining the intervention process
  - explaining reactions to participation
When to Use the Embedded Design

Choose this design if:

- Have expertise with the primary design
- Are comfortable with the primary orientation
- Have little prior experience with the supplemental method
- Resources limit placing equal priority on both methods
- Need for a secondary data set emerges
Embedded Design

- Philosophical assumptions:
  - Worldview may reflect the primary approach, use pragmatism for a concurrent approach, or shift in a sequential approach
- Common variants:
  - Embedded experiment
  - Embedded correlational
  - Embedded instrument development and validation
  - Mixed methods case study
  - Mixed methods narrative research
  - Mixed methods ethnography
Strengths: Embedded Design

- May require less time and fewer resources
- Improve the larger design with supplemental data
- Fits team approach well
- May be able to publish results separately
- Appealing to those accustomed to traditional designs
Challenges: Embedded Design

- Need expertise in primary design and mixed methods
- Must specify purpose for collecting the supplemental data
- Must decide when to collect supplemental data
- Results are difficult to integrate
- Must consider treatment bias if qualitative data collected during experiment

- **Objective:** Determine if the Faculty Development Program (FDP) impacts teaching style of pre-tenure faculty.

**Research Questions**

- **Quantitative** – What effect did the FDP have on teachers’ approaches to teaching?
- **Qualitative** – *(Central)* – How did the teachers’ teaching strategies change in response to the FDP?
  - **Sub** - What steps did the teachers take to implement the change?
- **Mixed Method** – How do the qualitative (qual) findings explain (expand on) the quantitative (QUAN) results?
**Design.** The mixed method design employed was an explanatory approach with a quasi-experimental design. The quantitative method was quasi-experimental between-subjects approach utilizing a pre- and posttest control group design. Qualitative data was collected at two time points post intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>N = 81</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>qual</th>
<th>qual (n = 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>n = 52</td>
<td>ATI</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>ATI</td>
<td>Critical Reports</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td>ATI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ATI</td>
<td>Critical Reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR = non-random  
ATI = Approach to Teaching Inventory  
FDP = Faculty Development Program
Chapter 1: Introduction

- Statement of the Problem
  - The topic.
  - The research problem.
  - Background and justification (philosophical view points).
  - Deficiencies in the evidence.
  - Audience.

- Definition of Terms
Chapter 2: Literature Review

- Lit Review should include relevant headings and subheadings. Following the lit review the purpose statement then research questions should be presented.

- Purpose Statement -(a) the overall content aim, (b) the type of mixed method design, (c) the forms of data collection that will be used (very general), (d) the data collection site(s), and (e) the reason for collecting both forms of data (see Creswell, 2007).

- Research Questions
  - Quantitative
  - Qualitative
  - Mixed Methods
Chapter 3: Methodology

- Participants
  - Quantitative.
  - Qualitative.

- Instruments

- Procedures
  - Design
    - Quantitative data.
    - Qualitative data.
  - Data analysis.

- Limitations
Mixed Methods Template

- Go to the ARC website for the Mixed Methods specific template:
  http://www.fischlerschool.nova.edu/applied-research/procedures_and_resources

Thank you for your Attention!